

## Planification, démocratie et postcapitalisme

(English version below)

L'appel à communication suivant vise à rassembler des chercheurs et les chercheuses qui travaillent sur la planification démocratique de l'économie dans une perspective postcapitaliste dans le cadre du XIIIe colloque de l'Association française d'économie politique (AFEP) sur le thème « Face aux crises, des planifications sont-elles possibles ? » qui se tiendra du 2 au 5 juillet 2024 à Montpellier. Il est lancé par le Groupe de recherche sur la planification démocratique de l'économie du Centre de recherche sur les innovations et les transformations sociales de l'Université Saint-Paul, à Ottawa, Canada. Ce groupe s'inscrit dans un champ de recherche en résurgence depuis une dizaine d'années : les études en économie politique postcapitaliste. Ce champ concerne toute pensée qui porte sur le fonctionnement politique et économique d'une société qui évolue volontairement en dehors des institutions du capitalisme.

Plusieurs publications récentes se penchent sur la mise à jour de modèles postcapitalistes complets et cohérents (Hahnel 2021; Adaman et Devine 2022a, 2022b; Cockshott et al. 2022; Dapprich 2022; Sandström 2020) et sur leur critique (Burczak 2022; Benanav 2022; Isikara et Nagin 2022). Sans surprise, les préoccupations d'ordre technologique (Gmeier et Harper 2022; Groos 2021; Grünberg 2023; Hickel 2023; Pařka 2020; Philips et Rozworski 2019; Samothrakis 2021, 2024) et écologique (Sorg 2023; Alexander et Gleeson 2022; Foster 2023; Hart-Landsberg 2023; Isikara et Nagin 2023; Shmeltzer and Hofferberth 2023 ; Saito, 2023, 2024) sont désormais centrales au débat. S'y ajoutent également des considérations portant sur les enjeux internationaux (Archambault et Pretz 2022; Hickel et Sullivan 2023) et sur les questions de genre (Quick 2022; Bauhart 2022). On note aussi l'apparition de nouveaux modèles (Saros 2014; Laibman 2015, 2022; Harnecker et Bartolomé 2019; Sutterlütty et Meretz 2023) qui s'inspirent des modèles précédents et proposent d'en dépasser les limites et contradictions. En 2023 seulement, trois publications réputées dans leur domaine, *Monthly Review*, *Competition and Change* et *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy* ont fait paraître des numéros spéciaux sur la planification démocratique de l'économie.

Les communications sur la planification démocratique postcapitaliste seront rassemblées en cinq panels centrés sur des enjeux distincts :

### 1. Format des propositions de planification économique démocratique.

Ce panel se penchera sur la question de la forme que prennent les propositions postcapitalistes. Depuis plusieurs décennies la forme habituelle de proposition est le modèle politico-économique cohérent et abstrait: une structure d'institutions économiques et politiques liées entre elles et qui répondent aux grands besoins de la vie collective. Doit-on dépasser cette forme pour une proposition plus agile et adaptable, comme celle des modules qui circule en ce moment?

### 2. Le rôle des prix et de la monnaie après le capitalisme

Ce panel a pour but d'aborder la difficile question du rôle des prix. Les modèles venant des années 1990 utilisent une forme ou une autre de prix indicatifs. Deux critiques sévères de ce choix ont émergé. Une première considère que la réduction à un seul indicateur pour la prise de décision est

un grave problème d'un point de vue écologique et qu'une comptabilité multifactorielle devrait être au cœur de la prise de décision. Une seconde considère que tout prix, tout usage de la monnaie même, détruira les liens de solidarité sur lesquels une approche postcapitaliste doit être fondée.

### 3. Planification démocratique de l'économie et respect des limites planétaires

Ce panel tente de répondre à la question suivante: comment établir quelles sont les limites planétaires et bâtir un système économique et politique qui les intègre entièrement? Les modèles de planification démocratique conçus dans les années 1990 réduisent souvent l'environnement à son impact sur les communautés humaines, ou encore laissent-ils cette question à des débats politiques ouverts à régler au cas par cas. Peut-on dépasser cette dichotomie et améliorer l'intégration de l'autolimitation au sein des modèles ?

### 4. Reproduction sociale et postcapitalisme

Ce panel vise à résoudre une contradiction à laquelle est confrontée la pensée du système postcapitaliste. Doit-on penser une organisation politique et économique spécifique à la reproduction sociale au risque de l'exceptionnaliser ? Doit-on plutôt tenter d'intégrer le plus possible ces tâches aux autres responsabilités économiques, au risque d'oublier leurs particularités ?

### 5. Préfiguration, économie des communautés et planification démocratique

Ce panel souhaite penser le lieu à partir duquel on envisage le postcapitalisme. Au lieu de s'ancrer dans le débat théorique, il sera question d'aller voir comment les expériences de ceux et celles qui tentent de vivre ici et maintenant en résistance face au capitalisme mondialisé peuvent nous inspirer pour bâtir la société qui dépassera ce système.

#### **Soumission des propositions et logistique**

**Veillez soumettre vos propositions avant le 15 avril 2024 à 17h HAE à l'adresse suivante: [plandemconf@gmail.com](mailto:plandemconf@gmail.com). Ces propositions doivent se limiter à 500 mots auxquels s'ajouteront les noms et affiliations des présentateurs ou présentatrices et le panel auquel vous souhaitez participer. Elles doivent être également soumises via la plateforme <https://afep2024.sciencesconf.org>**

#### **Financement**

Ce panel a été l'objet d'une demande de subvention Connexion au Conseil de recherches en sciences humaines du Canada. Si cette subvention est obtenue, les présentateurs et présentatrices pourraient obtenir des remboursements pour leurs frais de participation, de transport et d'hébergement. Malheureusement, la réponse quant à l'octroi de la subvention ne sera reçue qu'après la tenue du colloque. Les présentateurs et présentatrices doivent donc être en mesure d'assurer leur participation de manière autonome au cas où la subvention ne soit pas accordée. Le financement sera accordé selon l'ordre de priorité suivant:

- Étudiant-e-s de cycles supérieurs ou praticiens et praticiennes;
- Post-doctorant·e-s;
- Professeur·e-s sans appui financier de leur institution;

- Professeur·e·s avec appui financier de leur institution.

## Bibliographie

- Adaman, Fikret, et Pat Devine. 2022a. 'Revisiting the Calculation Debate: A Call for a Multiscale Approach'. *Rethinking Marxism* 34 (2): 162–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2051374>.
- Adaman, Fikret, et Pat Devine. 2022b. 'Clarifications on Democracy and Economic Planning: An Engagement with Robin Hahnel'. *Studies in Political Economy* 103 (2): 173–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07078552.2022.2096778>.
- Alexander, Samuel, et Brendan Gleeson. 2022. 'Collective Sufficiency: Degrowth as a Political Project'. Dans *Post-Capitalist Futures*, édité par Samuel Alexander, Sangeetha Chandrashekeran, and Brendan Gleeson, 53–64. *Alternatives and Futures: Cultures, Practices, Activism and Utopias*. Singapore: Springer Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6530-1\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6530-1_5).
- Archambault, Hannah, et Luke Pretz. 2022. 'Racial Capitalism, Imperialism, and Negotiated Coordination'. *Rethinking Marxism* 34 (2): 205–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2051376>.
- Bauhardt, Christine. 2022. 'Ecofeminist Political Economy: Critical Reflections on the Green New Deal'. In *Post-Capitalist Futures*, édité par Samuel Alexander, Sangeetha Chandrashekeran, and Brendan Gleeson, 87–95. *Alternatives and Futures: Cultures, Practices, Activism and Utopias*. Singapore: Springer Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6530-1\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6530-1_8).
- Benanav, Aaron. 2022. 'Socialist Investment, Dynamic Planning, and the Politics of Human Need'. *Rethinking Marxism* 34 (2): 193–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2051375>.
- Burczak, Theodore. 2022. 'Economic Democracy, Democratic Planning, and Human Autonomy: A Comment on Adaman and Devine'. *Rethinking Marxism* 34 (2): 212–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2051377>.
- Cockshott, Paul, Jan Philipp Dapprich, et Allin Cottrell. 2022. *Economic planning in an age of climate crisis*. Publié de manière indépendante. [https://www.amazon.co.uk/ECONOMIC-PLANNING-AGE-CLIMATE-CRISIS/dp/BOBKHZMVQC#detailBullets\\_feature\\_div](https://www.amazon.co.uk/ECONOMIC-PLANNING-AGE-CLIMATE-CRISIS/dp/BOBKHZMVQC#detailBullets_feature_div).
- Dapprich, Jan Philipp. 2022. 'Tokens Make the World Go Round: Socialist Tokens as an Alternative to Money'. *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43253-022-00091-6>.
- Foster, John Bellamy. 2023. 'Planned Degrowth: Ecosocialism and Sustainable Human Development'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 1–29. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_1](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_1).
- Gmeiner, Robert, et Mario Harper. 2022. 'Artificial Intelligence and Economic Planning'. *AI & SOCIETY*, July. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01523-x>.
- Groos, Jan. 2021. 'Distributed Planned Economies in the Age of Their Technical Feasibility'. *Behemoth* 14 (2): 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.6094/BEHEMOTH.2021.14.2.1061>.
- Grünberg, Max. 2023. 'The Planning Daemon: Future Desire and Communal Production'. *Historical Materialism* 1 (aop): 1–45. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1569206x-bja10001>.
- Hahnel, Robin. 2021. *Democratic Economic Planning*. Routledge Frontiers of Political Economy. London: Routledge.
- Harnecker, Marta, et José Bartolomé. 2019. *Planning from below: A Decentralized Participatory Planning Proposal*. Traduit par Fred Fuentes. New York: Monthly Review Press.

- Hart-Landsberg, Martin. 2023. 'Planning an Ecologically Sustainable and Democratic Economy: Challenges and Tasks'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 114–25. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_8](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_8).
- Hickel, Jason. 2023. 'On Technology and Degrowth'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 44–50. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_3](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_3).
- Hickel, Jason, et Dylan Sullivan. 2023. 'Capitalism, Global Poverty, and the Case for Democratic Socialism'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 99–113. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_7](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_7).
- Işikara, Güney, et Özgür Narin. 2022. 'The Potentials and Limits of Computing Technologies for Socialist Planning'. *Science & Society* 86 (2): 269–90. <https://doi.org/10.1521/isis.2022.86.2.269>.
- Işikara, Güney, et Özgür Narin. 2023. 'Degrowth and Socialism: Notes on Some Critical Junctures'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 30–43. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_2](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_2).
- Laibman, David. 2015. 'Multilevel Democratic Iterative Coordination: An Entry in the "Envisioning Socialism" Models Competition'. *마르크스주의 연구* 12 (1): 307–45. <https://doi.org/10.26587/MARX.12.1.201502.011>.
- Laibman, David. 2022. 'Systemic Socialism: A Model of the Models'. *Science & Society* 86 (2): 225–47. <https://doi.org/10.1521/isis.2022.86.2.225>.
- Pałka, Przemysław. 2020. 'Algorithmic Central Planning: Between Efficiency and Freedom'. *Law and Contemporary Problems* 83 (2): 125–49.
- Phillips, Leigh, et Michal Rozworski. 2019. *The People's Republic of Walmart: How the World's Biggest Corporations Are Laying the Foundation for Socialism*. London ; New York: Verso.
- Quick, Paddy. 2022. 'Household Production, Household Activity, and Human Development'. *Science & Society* 86 (2): 248–68. <https://doi.org/10.1521/isis.2022.86.2.248>.
- Saito, Kohei. 2023. *Marx in the Anthropocene: Towards the Idea of Degrowth Communism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Saito, Kohei. 2024. *Slow Down: The Degrowth Manifesto*. London: Penguin Random House.
- Samothrakis, Spyridon. 2021. 'Artificial Intelligence Inspired Methods for the Allocation of Common Goods and Services'. *PLoS ONE* 16 (9): e0257399–e0257399. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257399>.
- Samothrakis, Spyridon. 2024. « Artificial Intelligence and Modern Planned Economies: A Discussion on Methods and Institutions ». *AI & SOCIETY*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01826-7>.
- Sandström, Anders. 2020. *Anarchist Accounting: Accounting Principles for a Democratic Economy*. 1e édition. New York: Routledge.
- Saros, Daniel Earl. 2014. *Information Technology and Socialist Construction: The End of Capital and the Transition to Socialism*. Routledge Frontiers of Political Economy 184. London: Routledge.
- Schmelzer, Matthias, et Elena Hofferberth. 2023. 'Democratic Planning for Degrowth'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 142–53. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_10](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_10).
- Sorg, Christoph. 2023. 'Failing to Plan Is Planning to Fail: Toward an Expanded Notion of Democratically Planned Postcapitalism'. *Critical Sociology* 49 (3): 475–93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08969205221081058>.
- Sutterlütti, Simon, et Stefan Meretz. 2023. *Make Capitalism History: A Practical Framework for Utopia and the Transformation of Society*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-14645-9>.

## Planning, democracy and postcapitalism

The following call for communications aims to bring together researchers working on the democratic planning of the economy from a post-capitalist perspective, as part of the XIIIth colloquium of the Association française d'économie politique (AFEP) on the theme "Is planning possible in the face of crises?" to be held in Montpellier from July 2 to 5, 2024. This call is made by Planning for Entropy, a Research group of the Research Centre on Social Innovations and Transformations at Saint Paul University, Ottawa, Canada. This group takes part in a field of research in resurgence over the decade: postcapitalist political economy studies. This field concerns all research on the political and economic functioning of a society that deliberately evolves outside the institutions of capitalism.

Several recent publications focus on the updating of comprehensive and coherent post-capitalist models (Hahnel 2021; Adaman and Devine 2022a, 2022b; Cockshott et al. 2022; Dapprich 2022; Sandström 2020) and their critique (Burczak 2022; Benanav 2022; Isikara and Nagin 2022). Unsurprisingly, technological (Gmeier and Harper 2022; Groos 2021; Grünberg 2023; Hickel 2023; Pałka 2020; Philips and Rozworski 2019; Samothrakis 2021, 2024) and ecological (Sorg 2023; Alexander and Gleeson 2022; Foster 2023; Hart-Landsberg 2023; Isikara and Nagin 2023; Shmeltzer and Hofferberth 2023; Saito, 2023, 2024) concerns are now central to the debate. Added to this are considerations of international issues (Archambault and Pretz 2022; Hickel and Sullivan 2023) and gender (Quick 2022; Bauhart 2022). New models are also appearing (Saros 2014; Laibman 2015, 2022; Harnecker and Bartolomé 2019; Sutterlütti and Meretz 2023), drawing on previous models and proposing to overcome their limitations and contradictions. In 2023 alone, three reputed publications in their field, *Monthly Review*, *Competition and Change* and *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy*, published special issues on democratic economic planning.

Communications on postcapitalist democratic planning will be grouped into five panels focusing on distinct issues:

1. Format of democratic economic planning proposals.

This panel will address the question of the form of post-capitalist proposals. For several decades, the usual form of proposal has been the coherent political-economic abstract model: a structure of interrelated economic and political institutions that responds to the major needs of collective life. Should we move beyond this form to a more agile and adaptable proposal, like the modules' approach currently in discussion?

2. The role of prices and money after capitalism

This panel aims to tackle the difficult question of the role of prices. Models from the 1990s use some form of indicative prices. Two severe criticisms of this choice have emerged. The first considers that reducing decision-making to a single indicator is a serious problem from an ecological point of view and that multifactor accounting should be at the heart of decision-making. The second considers that any price, any use of money itself, will destroy the bonds of solidarity on which a post-capitalist approach must be based.

3. Democratic economic planning and respect for planetary boundaries

This panel seeks to answer the question: how can we conceive of planetary boundaries and build an economic and political system that fully integrates them? Democratic planning models devised in the 1990s often reduce the environment to its impact on human communities or leave the issue to open political debate to be resolved on a case-by-case basis. Can we overcome this dichotomy and improve the integration of self-limitation within these models?

4. Social reproduction and post-capitalism

This panel aims to resolve a contradiction confronted by postcapitalist thought. Should we think of a political and economic organization specific to social reproduction, at the risk of exceptionalizing it? Or should we try to integrate these tasks as closely as possible with other economic responsibilities, at the risk of forgetting their particularities?

5. Prefiguration, community economics and democratic planning

This panel aims to think about the place from which post-capitalism is envisioned. Instead of being rooted in theoretical debate, we'll be looking at how the experiences of communities trying to live here and now in resistance to globalized capitalism can inspire us to build a society that will go beyond this system.

**Proposal submission and logistics**

Please submit your proposals by April 15th, 2024, at 5 PM EDT to the following email address: [plandemconf@gmail.com](mailto:plandemconf@gmail.com)

Proposals should be 500 words or less and include the names and affiliations of presenters and the panel you wish to participate in.

They must also be submitted via the <https://afep2024.sciencesconf.org> platform.

The symposium will be held in person in Montpellier between July 3 and 5, 2024. The exact schedule will be communicated once the papers have been accepted.

**Financing**

This symposium has been the subject of a Connexion grant application to the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada. If this grant is awarded, presenters may be reimbursed for their participation, travel and accommodation expenses. Unfortunately, we will only have the grant decision after the conference. Presenters must therefore be in a position to ensure their own participation if the grant is not awarded. Funding will be awarded in the following order of priority:

- Graduate students or practitioners;
- Post-docs;
- Professors without financial support from their institution;
- Professors with financial support from their institution.

## Bibliography

- Adaman, Fikret, and Pat Devine. 2022a. 'Revisiting the Calculation Debate: A Call for a Multiscale Approach'. *Rethinking Marxism* 34 (2): 162–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2051374>.
- Adaman, Fikret, and Pat Devine. 2022b. 'Clarifications on Democracy and Economic Planning: An Engagement with Robin Hahnel'. *Studies in Political Economy* 103 (2): 173–81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07078552.2022.2096778>.
- Alexander, Samuel and Brendan Gleeson. 2022. 'Collective Sufficiency: Degrowth as a Political Project'. In *Post-Capitalist Futures*, directed by Samuel Alexander, Sangeetha Chandrashekeran, and Brendan Gleeson, 53–64. *Alternatives and Futures: Cultures, Practices, Activism and Utopias*. Singapore: Springer Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6530-1\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6530-1_5).
- Archambault, Hannah, and Luke Pretz. 2022. 'Racial Capitalism, Imperialism, and Negotiated Coordination'. *Rethinking Marxism* 34 (2): 205–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2051376>.
- Bauhardt, Christine. 2022. 'Ecofeminist Political Economy: Critical Reflections on the Green New Deal'. In *Post-Capitalist Futures*, édité par Samuel Alexander, Sangeetha Chandrashekeran, and Brendan Gleeson, 87–95. *Alternatives and Futures: Cultures, Practices, Activism and Utopias*. Singapore: Springer Singapore. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6530-1\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-16-6530-1_8).
- Benanav, Aaron. 2022. 'Socialist Investment, Dynamic Planning, and the Politics of Human Need'. *Rethinking Marxism* 34 (2): 193–204. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2051375>.
- Burczak, Theodore. 2022. 'Economic Democracy, Democratic Planning, and Human Autonomy: A Comment on Adaman and Devine'. *Rethinking Marxism* 34 (2): 212–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08935696.2022.2051377>.
- Cockshott, Paul, Jan Philipp Dapprich, and Allin Cottrell. 2022. *Economic planning in an age of climate crisis*. Independently published. [https://www.amazon.co.uk/ECONOMIC-PLANNING-AGE-CLIMATE-CRISIS/dp/BOBKHZMVQC#detailBullets\\_feature\\_div](https://www.amazon.co.uk/ECONOMIC-PLANNING-AGE-CLIMATE-CRISIS/dp/BOBKHZMVQC#detailBullets_feature_div).
- Dapprich, Jan Philipp. 2022. 'Tokens Make the World Go Round: Socialist Tokens as an Alternative to Money'. *Review of Evolutionary Political Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43253-022-00091-6>.
- Foster, John Bellamy. 2023. 'Planned Degrowth: Ecosocialism and Sustainable Human Development'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 1–29. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_1](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_1).
- Gmeiner, Robert, et Mario Harper. 2022. 'Artificial Intelligence and Economic Planning'. *AI & SOCIETY*, July. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-022-01523-x>.
- Groos, Jan. 2021. 'Distributed Planned Economies in the Age of Their Technical Feasibility'. *Behemoth* 14 (2): 75–87. <https://doi.org/10.6094/BEHEMOTH.2021.14.2.1061>.
- Grünberg, Max. 2023. 'The Planning Daemon: Future Desire and Communal Production'. *Historical Materialism* 1 (aop): 1–45. <https://doi.org/10.1163/1569206x-bja10001>.
- Hahnel, Robin. 2021. *Democratic Economic Planning*. Routledge Frontiers of Political Economy. London: Routledge.
- Harnecker, Marta, and José Bartolomé. 2019. *Planning from below: A Decentralized Participatory Planning Proposal*. New York: Monthly Review Press.
- Hart-Landsberg, Martin. 2023. 'Planning an Ecologically Sustainable and Democratic Economy: Challenges and Tasks'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 114–25. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_8](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_8).

- Hickel, Jason. 2023. 'On Technology and Degrowth'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 44–50. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_3](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_3).
- Hickel, Jason and Dylan Sullivan. 2023. 'Capitalism, Global Poverty, and the Case for Democratic Socialism'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 99–113. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_7](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_7).
- Işıkara, Güney and Özgür Narin. 2022. 'The Potentials and Limits of Computing Technologies for Socialist Planning'. *Science & Society* 86 (2): 269–90. <https://doi.org/10.1521/viso.2022.86.2.269>.
- Işıkara, Güney and Özgür Narin. 2023. 'Degrowth and Socialism: Notes on Some Critical Junctures'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 30–43. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_2](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_2).
- Laibman, David. 2015. 'Multilevel Democratic Iterative Coordination: An Entry in the "Envisioning Socialism" Models Competition'. *마르크스주의 연구* 12 (1): 307–45. <https://doi.org/10.26587/MARX.12.1.201502.011>.
- Laibman, David. 2022. 'Systemic Socialism: A Model of the Models'. *Science & Society* 86 (2): 225–47. <https://doi.org/10.1521/viso.2022.86.2.225>.
- Pałka, Przemysław. 2020. 'Algorithmic Central Planning: Between Efficiency and Freedom'. *Law and Contemporary Problems* 83 (2): 125–49.
- Phillips, Leigh and Michal Rozworski. 2019. *The People's Republic of Walmart: How the World's Biggest Corporations Are Laying the Foundation for Socialism*. London ; New York: Verso.
- Quick, Paddy. 2022. 'Household Production, Household Activity, and Human Development'. *Science & Society* 86 (2): 248–68. <https://doi.org/10.1521/viso.2022.86.2.248>.
- Saito, Kohei. 2023. *Marx in the Anthropocene: Towards the Idea of Degrowth Communism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Saito, Kohei. 2024. *Slow Down: The Degrowth Manifesto*. London: Penguin Random House.
- Samothrakis, Spyridon. 2021. 'Artificial Intelligence Inspired Methods for the Allocation of Common Goods and Services.' *PLoS ONE* 16 (9): e0257399–e0257399. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0257399>.
- Samothrakis, Spyridon. 2024. « Artificial Intelligence and Modern Planned Economies: A Discussion on Methods and Institutions ». *AI & SOCIETY*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00146-023-01826-7>.
- Sandström, Anders. 2020. *Anarchist Accounting: Accounting Principles for a Democratic Economy*. 1e édition. New York: Routledge.
- Saros, Daniel Earl. 2014. *Information Technology and Socialist Construction: The End of Capital and the Transition to Socialism*. Routledge Frontiers of Political Economy 184. London: Routledge.
- Schmelzer, Matthias and Elena Hofferberth. 2023. 'Democratic Planning for Degrowth'. *Monthly Review: An Independent Socialist Magazine* 75 (3): 142–53. [https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07\\_10](https://doi.org/10.14452/MR-075-03-2023-07_10).
- Sorg, Christoph. 2023. 'Failing to Plan Is Planning to Fail: Toward an Expanded Notion of Democratically Planned Postcapitalism'. *Critical Sociology* 49 (3): 475–93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/08969205221081058>.
- Sutterlütti, Simon and Stefan Meretz. 2023. *Make Capitalism History: A Practical Framework for Utopia and the Transformation of Society*. Cham: Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-14645-9>.